# UNIVERSITY of **HOUSTON**FACULTY COURSE EVALUATION REPORTS

Administered By: Measurement and Evaluation Center

### **COLLEGE OF LIBERAL ARTS & SOCIAL SCIENCES**

Spring 2021 Instructor: Kim, Yongkwang Instruction Mode: Asynchronous Online

POLS3312-26351 Arguments, Data, Politics

#### **Section 1 Instructor**

	Relative Frequency Distribution of Response							ion Stati	istics	Dep	t. Statis	tics	College Statistics		
	N	Agree	Agree	Neutral	Strongly Disagree	Strongly Disagree	NA	Mean	Std. Dev.	N	Mean	Std. Dev.	N	Mean	Std. Dev.
The instructor provided a syllabus and reviewed course expectations at the beginning of the semester.	9	77.8	22.2	0.0	0.0	0.0		4.78	0.44	1740	4.61	0.68	17375	4.63	0.68
2) The instructor presented the course in a clear, logical and organized manner.	9	77.8	22.2	0.0	0.0	0.0		4.78	0.44	1731	4.42	0.88	17332	4.45	0.89
3) The instructor encouraged student participation, questions, and discussion as the class size allowed.	9	55.6	33.3	0.0	11.1	0.0		4.33	1	1625	4.28	1.01	16804	4.48	0.86
4) The instructor encouraged critical thinking and evaluation of ideas and evidence.	9	66.7	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0		4.67	0.5	1696	4.35	0.92	17205	4.49	0.84
5) The instructor was well prepared for class.	9	77.8	22.2	0.0	0.0	0.0		4.78	0.44	1687	4.44	0.87	16958	4.48	0.87
6) Tests, examinations, projects, papers, or creative activities reflected materials emphasized in the course.	9	77.8	22.2	0.0	0.0	0.0		4.78	0.44	1729	4.37	0.94	17336	4.49	0.84
7) The grading practices were clear, consistently followed and fair.	9	77.8	22.2	0.0	0.0	0.0		4.78	0.44	1731	4.37	0.95	17337	4.41	0.94
8) The instructor evaluated exams, quizzes, papers or creative activities in a timely manner.	9	77.8	22.2	0.0	0.0	0.0		4.78	0.44	1719	4.38	0.93	17266	4.41	0.95
9) The teaching assistant was receptive to questions.	5	80.0	20.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4	4.8	0.45	1210	4.15	1.02	12469	4.3	0.94
10) The teaching assistant was available for assistance to students.	4	75.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4	4.75	0.5	1196	4.13	1.02	12336	4.28	0.95

# **Section 2 Common University-Wide Items**

	Relative Fr	Sect	ion Stati	istics	Dep	t. Statis	tics	College Statistics						
	Outstanding	Above Average	Average	Below Average	Poor	N	Mean	Std. Dev.	N	Mean	Std. Dev.	N	Mean	Std. Dev.
11) The overall teaching effectiveness of this instructor is	88.9	11.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	9	4.89	0.33	1743	4.09	1.04	17453	4.22	1
12) The overall quality of this course is	77.8	11.1	11.1	0.0	0.0	9	4.67	0.71	1743	4.03	1.05	17424	4.18	1.02
13) This instructors availability for individual assistance is	77.8	11.1	11.1	0.0	0.0	9	4.67	0.71	1740	4.07	1.04	17388	4.26	0.98
14) This instructors demonstration of respect for students is	88.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	9	4.78	0.67	1737	4.28	0.97	17386	4.44	0.88

## **Section 3**

	Never	Very Seldom	Quarter of the time	About half the time	More than half the time
15) How often did the instructor come more than ten minutes late?	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

	Never	Very Seldom	Quarter of the time	About half the time	More than half the time
16) How often did the instructor either cancel or not show up to teach?	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

	Sect	tion	4 Studen	t In	formatio	on				
	Freshman		omore Junior		Senio	or	Graduate		Post Baccalaureate	
17) Classification:	0.0		11.1 1		11.1	77.8		0.0		0.0
	Required course	Required course			Required, but would have taken it anyway			ıbject		Other
18) Reason I enrolled in this course:	55.6			11.1			22.2	2		11.1
	This department	Another depa This department College of Lib Social S					l Sciences and thematics			Other
19) My major is in:	55.6	55.6		0.0			0.0			44.4
	Much less than in most courses I have taken	courses I have in m		Somewhat less than in most courses I have taken		same as in ses I have ten				Much more than in most course I have taken
20) Compared to other courses at the same level, the amount of work I did was:	0.0		11.1		55	i.6	22.2			11.1
	More than half of the time	Abou	it half of the	time	About a q		Only	a few times		Never
21) How often did you miss class?	0.0	0.0			0.	0.0		0.0		100.0
	<2.0	2.00 - 2.49			2.50 -	- 2.99	3.00 - 3.50			3.51 - 4.00
22) In what range does your current GPA fall?	0.0		0.0		22	22.2		22.2		55.6

				Section	on 5 Dis	stan	ce l	Educ	atio	1								
	Relative Frequency Distribution of Response						Section Statistics			Dep	t. Stati	stics	Colle	ge Stat	istics	Dist Edu Stats		
	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	NA	N	Mean	Std Dev	N	Mean	Std Dev	N	Mean	Std Dev	N	Mean	Std Dev
23) Course Objectives were clearly indicated in the syllabus or somewhere online	88.9	11.1	0.0	0.0	0.0		9	4.89	0.33	1631	4.56	0.7	16859	4.59	0.71	47542	4.51	0.77
24) The quizzes/tests and/or assignments were related to the lectures and course material	88.9	11.1	0.0	0.0	0.0		9	4.89	0.33	1628	4.44	0.87	16774	4.54	0.77	47005	4.48	0.8
25) Grading criteria for this course was clear	88.9	11.1	0.0	0.0	0.0		9	4.89	0.33	1621	4.41	0.87	16749	4.46	0.87	47262	4.39	0.91
26) The instructor or TA provided timely feedback and was available to discuss my progress	83.3	16.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	3	6	4.83	0.41	1386	4.2	1.02	14984	4.34	0.95	44062	4.29	0.99
27) The technology used to deliver this course was helpful [beneficial] to learning the material	87.5	12.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	1	8	4.88	0.35	1582	4.26	1	16481	4.36	0.93	46680	4.31	0.95
28) Technical assistance was available when I needed it	60.0	40.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4	5	4.6	0.55	1367	4.22	0.94	14176	4.34	0.9	41789	4.3	0.92

Mean= 5 point scale, higher scores desirable N, Mean=The college statistic data for items 11 through 14 include Distance Education.

<sup>© 2022</sup> The University of Houston. All rights reserved. 4800 Calhoun Road, Houston, Texas 77204 (713) 743-2255